

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/929,719	08/13/2001	John C. Massenburg	340058.435D2	1154	
500	7590 07/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			TRINH, N	TRINH, MINH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	VA 98104-7092		3729		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/929,719	MASSENBURG, JOHN C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh Trinh	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/01, 1/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Group I, species 1A (claims 1-11) in the reply filed on 5/24/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). It is also noted that claims 12-37 have been previously cancelled in the preliminary amendment filed 8/13/01.

An Office action on the merits of claims 1-11as follows.

Priority

2. This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a Divisional of Application No. 09/069,223, filed 4/28/1998, which is US patent 6,299,510 issued 10/9/2001." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: "High pressure fluid jet cutting machine" or the like.

Art Unit: 3729

4. The abstract of the disclosure is too long and it should be revised to reflect method invention and should be within the range of 50 to 150 words. Appropriate correction required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples:

"the fluid"(claim 1, line 8) lacks proper antecedent basis.

It is not clear whether the limitation recites "fluid" (claim 1, line 14 and 17) is the same as the "fluid" (claim 1, line 8).

"the one compartment" (claim 1, line 12, 14, 15, 19, etc) directed to "at least one compartment" (claim 1, line 8) therefore "the one compartment" should be changed to: -- the at least one compartment -- , for better clarification of the claimed subject matter.

"drawing fluid"(claim 4, line 7) should be:--drawing the fluid--.

"to allow fluid" (claim 5, line 4) should be :-- to allow the fluid--.

Further, applicants should carefully revise the claim language in order to clarify the claimed subject matter to which applicant is intended.

Art Unit: 3729

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrington et al (4,656,791) in view of Yasukawa, deceased et al (4,872,293).

Herrington et al disclose a fluid-jet cutting machine, comprising: a nozzle associated with a high-pressure fluid source and an abrasive particle delivery device, the nozzle being configured to project an abrasive fluid-jet 18 (see Fig. 2); a carrier assembly 46 attached to the nozzle to move the nozzle and the fluid-jet along a cutting path (see Fig. 2); a tank 105 aligned with the nozzle, the tank including at least one compartment configured to receive the fluid and the abrasive particles of the fluid-jet along at least a portion of the cutting path and to control fluid flow out of the one compartment, the controlled fluid flow of the one compartment and the fluid-jet maintaining at least a substantial portion of the abrasive particles in suspension in the fluid in the one compartment without additional mechanical agitation (see Figs. 1-2); a fluid transport mechanism including a conduit 128, the conduit having a first end in fluid communication with the one compartment and a second end 130 outside of the one compartment (see Fig. 2).

Herrington et al however do not teach the a settling container separate from the one compartment, and the exact configurations i.e., wherein the second end of the

Application/Control Number: 09/929,719

Art Unit: 3729

conduit being in fluid communication with the setting container, a portion of the fluid with suspended abrasive particles in the one compartment being transported through the conduit from the one compartment into the settling container, and the abrasive particles from the transported portion of fluid settling to a lower portion of the setting container while a clarified fluid is removed from the settling container through an outlet of the settling container. Yasukawa, deceased et al disclose the above including a settling vessel 54 associated the second end of the conduit, and a portion of the fluid with suspended abrasive particles in the one compartment 26 being transported through the conduit from the one compartment 26 into the settling container 54 (see Fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the Yasukawa's teaching as described above onto the invention of Herrington et al in order to form a desired cutting device.

As applied to claim 2, it is inherently that the flowing of the fluid through the conduit when the first end of the conduit on the bottom of the at least one compartment and the second end of the conduit is being positioned below the first end.

As applied to claim 3, noting Herrington et al disclose the limitation of claim 3 (as shown in Fig. 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of

Art Unit: 3729

reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: That the prior art fails to teach the limitations recited in these claims (i.e., see claim 4, lines 1-9, claim6, lines 1-9) in combination with all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art References

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of High pressure jet cutting apparatus or the like.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/929,719

Art Unit: 3729

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Trinh 7/27/04

Patent Examiner Group 3729

mt